

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED I	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/078,941 05/14/98		FANGROW		Т	ICUMM.078CP1	
020995	QM31/0520	7.		EXAMINER		
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE SIXTEENTH FLOOR				RODRI	RIGUEZ,C	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CH CA 92660	•	• •	3763	5	
				DATE MAILED): 05/20/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.		Applicant(s)							
Office Action Summary	09/07	8,941	10	ngo	on et al					
Office Action Summary		OL	n		Group Art Unit					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		01			3763					
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—										
Period for Response										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TTO EXPIR	E308a	ys M	ONTH	(S) FROM THE					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a least 1 NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response with	in the statutor 6) MONTHS	y minimu from the n	m of thi	rty (30) days will be date of this commur	considered timely.				
Status										
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/14/98	-/									
☐ This action is FINAL.										
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims										
X Claim(s) 1 - 39					is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s)					is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
□ Claim(s)					is/are allowed.					
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is	_ is/are rejected.								
☐ Claim(s)	is	is/are objected to.								
Claim(s) 1 - 3 9	ar	are subject to restriction or election requirement.								
Application Papers				7						
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTC)-948.								
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.										
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.										
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)										
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International 	priority doc	cuments ha	ve been							
*Certified copies not received:					·					
Attachment(s)										
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s)	□ ln:	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413							
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	-,-		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152							
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948			□ Other							
•	allon O		-							
Office A	ction Sumr	нагу								

Application/Control Number: 09/078,941

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, drawn to a method of effecting a positive flow of fluid through a first medical implement, classified in class 604, subclass 500.
 - II. Claims 8-39, drawn to a medical valve, classified in class 604, subclass 246.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as pressure release regulator.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a)figures 1-17, b)figures 18-19, c)figures 20-21, d)figures 22-23, e)figures 24-25, f)figures 26-27, g)figures 28-29, h)figures 30-31, I)figures 32-33, j)figures 34-35, k)figures 36-48, l)figures 49-50, and m)figures 51-52.

Application/Control Number: 09/078,941 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Steven Nataupsky on May 18, 1999 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3763

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 6. inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cris L. Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-2194. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins, can be reached on (703) 308-1344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3590.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Rodriguez /99

May 18, 1999

CORRINE McDERMOTT PRIMARY EXAMINER